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Objectives

Provide overview of AHRQs work on team-based care measurement

• Develop a theoretically-grounded conceptual framework for measurement of team-based primary care
• Conduct an environmental scan to identify and assess instruments to measure teamwork in primary care
• Create a publicly-available, web-based atlas or inventory of instruments
• Identify gaps in the measurement of team-based primary care

Case presentation and discussion
Background

• Research on teams is available from other sectors
• Accumulating evidence that effective teams are associated with better patient outcomes
• Increasing recognition that successful primary care redesign efforts (e.g., medical home) will require a high-functioning primary care team
• Since research, evaluation and QI can help advance effective team-based care in primary care, instruments to support these activities are critical
• Recent progress toward developing tools and instruments to measure these effective team attributes
• To ensure teams are effective, teams should be observed or measured on the extent to which they demonstrate the requisite attributes.
• Growing agreement on attributes of effective team-based care
• Education has similarly been evolving towards interprofessional education
Background References

IOM Definition of Team-Based Care

“...the provision of health services to individuals, families, and/or their communities by at least two health providers who work collaboratively with patients and their caregivers-to the extent preferred by each patient-to accomplish shared goals within and across settings to achieve coordinated, high-quality care.”

IOM Definition of Primary Care

Primary care is the provision of integrated, accessible health care services by clinicians who are accountable for addressing a large majority of personal health care needs, developing a sustained partnership with patients, and practicing in the context of family and community.

Operational Definition of a Team

“A team is a collection of individuals who are inter-dependent in their tasks, who share responsibility for outcomes, who see themselves and who are seen by others as an intact social entity embedded in one or more larger social systems (for example, business unit or the corporation) and who manage their relationships across organizational boundaries.”

Methods

• Developed a conceptual model
  ▪ 12 Constructs grouped into 3 main Domains, plus “Leadership”
• Conducted an environmental scan
  – Reviewed 3296 abstracts + 45 articles suggested by experts
  • Identified 221 potential sources, from which 129 full-text instruments were available
  • 64 instruments selected to map (related to teams and adaptable to primary care)
• “Mapped” the items in each instrument to the mediators or enablers of team care in the conceptual model
  – Two researchers systematically ‘mapped’ each item within an instrument to the mediator/enabler constructs in the model
  – Then reconciled by experts in team care
  – Each item could map to maximum of two constructs
• 57 instruments retained after mapping exercise
Conceptual Framework

• Developed and refined through a literature review and with input from the expert panel
• Framework uses an “Input-Mediator-Output-Input (IMOI)” configuration that is iterative and dynamic in nature
  – **Inputs:** precursors or pre-conditions for teams to exist
  – **Mediators:** processes that occur within the team, or enablers of effective teamwork; mediators were the focus of this project. There are 4 mediator domains in the framework:
    • Cognitive
    • Affective/relational
    • Behavioral
    • Leadership
  – **Outputs** are the results of effective teamwork in primary care
Conceptual Model of Team Care

**Inputs:**
- **Internal to Organization:**
  - Leadership:
    - inclusive
    - psychological safety
  - **Team composition:**
    - size
    - diversity of ideas
    - diversity of skills
    - diversity of knowledge
    - prior training/experience
    - turnover/stability
  - **Patient population needs**
    - (demand & workload)
  - **The “Built” environment**
    - (space and co-location)
  - **QI Infrastructure**
    - Health IT capacity
    - Time for reflection & conversations
    - Internal expertise with a specific QI method
    - External expertise: QI consultants or practice facilitators

- **External to Organization:**
  - Local Context: job market, workforce
  - Financing/Payment Models
  - Health Policy Environment (e.g. licensure policies)

**Mediators:**
- **Teamwork**
  - Cognitive:
    - Sense-making
    - Continuous learning
    - Shared explicit goals and accountability
    - Evolving mental models of roles
  - Affective/Relational:
    - Trust
    - Respectful interactions
    - Heedful inter-relating
      - Commitment: “we v. me”
  - Behavioral:
    - Communication:
      - Timely
      - Accurate/honest
      - Problem-solving
      - Multi-modal
    - Adaptable to context and needs, improvisation
    - Conflict Resolution

**Outputs:**
- **Team-Based Primary Care:**
  - Patient-Centric:
    - Inclusive of patient and accountable to them
  - Defined, agreed upon roles:
    - works at ‘top of education and experience’
  - Measures processes and outcomes:
    - Accountable for evidence-based care
    - Continuous improvement
    - Proactive care that is a shared responsibility
    - Link to other teams/resources & coordinate care as needed
    - Longitudinal continuity relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cognitive Domain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense-making</td>
<td>Effective teams actively consider tasks, interactions and the environment within which they take place to help all team members gain a deeper understanding of how these factors relate to each other, for the purpose of both problem-solving AND improving shared goals and vision.</td>
<td>Weick KE (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>McDaniel RR (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Learning</td>
<td>Effective teams engage in continuous learning by regularly (and in the moment) collaborating to incorporate new understandings, information, data, and skills to optimize care delivery.</td>
<td>Jordan ME, et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leykum LK, et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Explicit Goals and Accountability</td>
<td>Effective teams actively adopt and agree upon a set of goals and objectives with clearly articulated criteria for achievement, which motivates them as a team and measures their progress.</td>
<td>Xyrichis A, Lowton K. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolving Mental Models of Roles</td>
<td>Effective teams maintain an open mind to new ideas and perspectives that they apply to their role and understanding of others roles and relationships, allowing roles to change over time.</td>
<td>Bodenheimer T. (2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affective/Relational Domain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trust</strong></td>
<td>Effective teams are able to act in a manner that reflects confidence in the ability and reliability of other team members, are able to be vulnerable by bringing problems to the group for resolution and believe that each team member will strive toward the goals of the group</td>
<td>Ilgen DR, Hollenbeck JR, Johnson M, Jundt D. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respectful Interactions</strong></td>
<td>Effective practice teams exhibit honest, self-confident and appreciative interaction, actively seek out and value the roles and opinions of others, freely share opinions that may be unpopular and willingly change their minds in response to new meaning created within the practice</td>
<td>Lanham HJ, et al. (2009) Weick KE, Roberts, KA. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commitment</strong></td>
<td>In effective primary care teams, individuals and the group as a whole feel connected to and exhibit a sense of belonging to the team, are dedicated to group goals and values, and exhibit this loyalty to the group by consistently performing their role even in difficult situations.</td>
<td>Ilgen DR, Hollenbeck JR, Johnson M, Jundt D. (2005) Hoegl M, Gemuenden HG. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Behavioral Domain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conflict Resolution</strong></td>
<td>Effective practice teams develop a relational capacity to address conflict by openly discussing disagreements or tension among team members using an effective resolution process</td>
<td>Lanham HJ, et al. (2009) Jordan ME et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leadership Domain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leadership</strong></td>
<td>In effective practice teams leadership promotes high quality care by encouraging each team member to develop and express new ideas, encouraging their engagement in testing them, and guiding the team towards improvement.</td>
<td>Edmondson, A. (2003) Nembhard IM, Edmondson AC. (2006)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


## Results: Instrument-Level

### Instrument Characteristics (n=48)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument type</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational checklists</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Settings</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health care-outpatient</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care-inpatient</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified health care</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-health care/unspecified</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total number of items in instrument

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>6-94</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample / respondents</th>
<th>#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registered nurses</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care administrators</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse practitioners</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied health professionals</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRNs or LPNs</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmacists</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care trainees/students</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-health care</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: Instrument-Level

Number of Instruments Measuring Each Mediator Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediator Domains</th>
<th>Number of Instruments (n=48)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective/Relational</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership (as a mediator)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results: Item-Level

Number of Items by Mediator Domain across 48 Instruments

Conceptual Model Domains

- Cognitive: 492
- Affective/Relational: 601
- Behavioral: 362
- Leadership: 192

(Number of Items: n=1,647)
Results: Item-Level

Number of Items by Mediator Construct across 48 Instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediator Constructs</th>
<th>Number of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sense-making</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Learning</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolving Mental Models</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respectful Inter-relating</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needful Inter-relating</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptable to Context</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict Resolution</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gaps in Measurement

• Identified gaps in measurement of team-based primary care by:
  – Examining extent to which instruments mapped to the conceptual framework
  – Soliciting structured input from individual expert panel members and stakeholders
  – Discussing the input received with the expert panel
Gaps in Measurement

• Highlights of Key Gaps:
  – Need to incorporate patient perspective into team-based primary care assessments, although more conceptual work is needed before instrument development occurs
  – Address measurement challenges associated with aggregating at the unit-level from individual clinicians, particularly when there are few clinicians in a practice
  – Support non-researchers who wish to use the instruments by providing guidance and training (e.g., how to approach, use and interpret results)
Publish a Web-Based Atlas of Instruments

• A searchable database of 48 instruments to measure team-based primary care
  – Can search instruments on key characteristics
• A summary for each instrument is provided
• A resource to support measurement of attributes of effective teamwork to ultimately advance and improve team-based care primary care
• Coming soon to ahrq.gov (Fall 2014)
Discussion

• Majority of instruments were from health care, though some from other sectors may be useful to assess effective team-based primary care
• Some instruments will require some adaption (e.g., wording changes) in order to use in primary care setting
• Most instruments address multiple Conceptual Model constructs, but with differing degrees of emphasis
  – None measured all of them
• Distribution of instruments and items across constructs and domains varied only slightly
Question/Comments?
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