National Cancer Institute's Patient-Reported Outcomes version of the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE[™]) Measurement System: An Overview

Sandra A. Mitchell, PhD, CRNP

Research Scientist and Program Director Outcomes Research Branch Healthcare Delivery Research Program



Overview and Background

Measuring Safety and Tolerability in Cancer Clinical Trials





Safety and tolerability are fundamental to conclusions about the effectiveness of cancer therapies, including comparative effectiveness In cancer clinical trials, adverse events are graded and reported using Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (*CTCAE*) (now in version 5)



10% of the 800 adverse events listed in CTCAE are symptoms and thus are amenable to selfreporting



Validity of symptom reports may be eroded when filtered through research staff and clinicians¹

Staff-based AE reporting occurs at clinic visits; AEs occurring between visits may be missed

Capturing Symptomatic Adverse Events Using Patient-Reported Outcomes

Real-time ascertainment of symptomatic adverse events using PROs can improve the precision and reproducibility of adverse event reporting



 NCI's Patient-Reported Outcomes Version of the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE[™]) Measurement System

- PRO measurement system developed to allow patient self-reporting of the presence/absence, frequency, severity and/or interference of symptomatic adverse events
- Designed to be used as a companion to the CTCAE to capture the patient experience of symptomatic toxicities in cancer clinical trials

PRO-CTCAE™ Measurement System

- Symptomatic adverse events amenable to self-reporting were identified from CTCAE
- PRO-CTCAE items evaluate the symptom attributes of frequency, severity, interference, amount, presence/absence
- Conditional branching logic can be implemented with electronic data capture, thereby reducing respondent burden
- PRO-CTCAE linguistically validated in more than 25 languages
- Pediatric module permits self-reporting by children and adolescents ages 7-17 years (Ped-PRO-CTCAE[™]) or caregiver-reporting for children younger than 7 years of age (Ped-PRO-CTCAE[™] [Caregiver])



PRO-CTCAE™ Measurement System

- Investigators select for prospective surveillance those PRO-CTCAE items that reflect anticipated symptomatic toxicities
- Custom surveys in more than 25 languages can be created using the Form Builder function at the NCI PRO-CTCAE website

Patient-Reported Outcomes version Of The Common Terminology Criteria For Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE™)										
			QUIC	GOIDE TO THE IT	EIVI	LIBRARY*				
Oral		Respiratory		Neurological		Sleep/Wake		Sexual		
Dry mouth	S	Shortness of breath	SI	Numbness & tingling	SI	Insomnia	SI	Achieve and	s	
Difficulty swallowing	S	Cough	SI	Dizziness	SI	Fatigue	SI	maintain erection		
Mouth/throat sores	SI	Wheezing	S) /: /Dt-	I	Mood		Ejaculation	r 0	
Crackingatthe		Cardio/Circulat	2020	visual/Percept	uar	WOOd	501	Decreased libido	5	
corners of the mouth (cheilosis/cheilitis)	S	Swelling	50	Blurredvision	SI	Anxious	FSI	Unable to have	Р	
Voice quality	D	Heart palpitations	EC	Flashinglights	P	Discouraged	151	orgasm	Ρ	
changes	F	near c parpitations	13	Visual floaters	P	290	F51	Pain w/sexual	s	
Hoarseness	S	Cutaneous		Watery eyes	SI			intercourse	0	
Gastrointestina	d i	Rash	Р	Ringing in ears	S	Genitourinan	v	Miscellaneous		
Taste changes	s	Skin dryness	S	A + + / • 4		Irregular		Breast swelling and		
Decreased appetite	SI	Acne	S	Attention/iviem	ory	periods/vaginal	Ρ	tenderness	3	
Nausea	FS	Hairloss	А	Concentration	SI	bleeding		Bruising	Ρ	
Vomiting	FS	Itching	S	Memory	SI	menstrual period	Р	Chills	FS	
Hearthurn	ES	Hives	Ρ			Vaginal discharge	А	Increased sweating	FS	
Gas	P	Hand-foot	s	Pain		Vaginal dryness	s	Decreased sweating	Р	
Ploating	EC	syndrome		General pain	FSI	Painful urination	S	Hot flashes	FS	
Hiccurs	FS	Nall sidaina	P	Headache	FSI	Urinary urgency	FI	Nosebleed	FS	
Constinution	c .	Natiriuging	P	Musclepain	FSI	Urinary frequency	FI	Pain and swelling at	Р	
Disashas	5	Sepsitivityte	٢	Joint pain	FSI	Change in usual		Injection site	6	
Abdominal pain	ECI	sunlight	Р			urine color	r	body odor	3	
Abdominal pain	131	Bed/pressure sores	Ρ			Urinary incontinence	FI			
Fecal incontinence Fi		Radiation skin reaction	s				Attri	ibutes		
		Skin darkening	Р			F: Frequency		I: Interference		
National		Stretch marks P		-		·····,				
NIE Cancer						S: Severity		P: Presence/Absence		
*Complete library of items available at: https://healthcaredelivery.cancer.gov/pro-ctcae Version date: 3/11/2020										



For more information visit: <u>https://healthcaredelivery.cancer.gov/pro-ctcae</u>

PRO-CTCAE™ Measurement System

- Psychometrically robust library of items
- Accommodate respondents who speak languages other than English
- Permit self-reporting by respondents across the developmental spectrum
- Supply meaningful data to improve understanding of symptomatic AEs



PRO-CTCAE™ Development and Measurement Properties

PRO-CTCAE™:Content Validity

Objective:

 Develop the items and examine the content validity of the PRO-CTCAE item library

Methods:

- Trialists, clinical experts, PRO methodologists, patient advocates, and representatives from the US Food and Drug Administration identified symptomatic AEs that can be meaningfully self-reported by patients¹
- Three rounds of semi-structured cognitive interviews were conducted to evaluate comprehension, clarity and ease of judgement (N=127)²
- PRO-CTCAE items were iteratively refined between interview rounds

¹Basch et al. (2014). *JNCI.*, 106(9). pii: dju244. doi: 10.1093/jnci/dju244 ²Hay et al. (2014). *Quality of Life Research.*, 23(1):257-269. doi: 10.1007/s11136-013-0470-1

PRO-CTCAE™:Content Validity

Results:

- 78 symptomatic AEs identified from the more than 800 terms in the CTCAE lexicon; plain-language symptomatic AE terminologies developed¹
- Each symptomatic AE term is assessed using 1 to 3 items¹
- Frequency, severity, interference w/ daily activities, presence/absence, amount
- Cognitive interviewing using structured and open-ended probes (N=127)
 - 63/80 symptom terms generated no cognitive difficulties; 17 modified and re-tested without further comprehension difficulties²

¹Basch et al. (2014). *JNCI.*, 106(9). pii: dju244. doi: 10.1093/jnci/dju244 ²Hay et al. (2014). *Quality of Life Research.*, 23(1):257-269. doi: 10.1007/s11136-013-0470-1 10

PRO-CTCAE™ Attributes and Item Structures

Frequency	Severity	Interference	Amount	Presence/Absence
In the last 7 days, how often did you have?	In the last 7 days, what was the severity of your at its worst?	In the last 7 days, how much did interfere with your usual or daily activities?	In the last 7 days, did you have any ?	In the last 7 days, did you have any ?
 Never Rarely Occasionally Frequently Almost constantly 	 None Mild Moderate Severe Very severe 	 Not at all A little bit Somewhat Quite a bit Very much 	 Not at all A little bit Somewhat Quite a bit Very much 	• No • Yes

Each symptomatic AE is assessed by 1-3 attributes

 Conditional branching logic within PRO-CTCAE items can be implemented when using electronic data capture, thereby reducing respondent burden

Patient-Reported Outcomes version Of The Common Terminology Criteria For Adverse Events (PRO-CTCAE[™]) **QUICK GUIDE TO THE ITEM LIBRARY***

Oral		Respiratory		Neurological		Sleep/Wake		Sexual
Dry mouth	S	Shortness of breath	SI	Numbness & tingling	SI	Insomnia	SI	Achieve and
Difficulty swallowing	S	Cough	SI	Dizziness	SI	Fatigue	SI	maintainerection
Mouth/throat sores	SI	Wheezing	S	N/Inconstructure	1	Maad		Ejaculation
Cracking at the corners of the mouth	s	Cardio/Circulate	ory	Blurred vision	Jai Si	Anxious	FSI	Decreased libido Delayed orgasm
(cheilosis/cheilitis)		Swelling	FSI	Flashing lights	Р	Discouraged	FSI	Unable to have
Voice quality changes	Ρ	Heart palpitations	FS	Visual floaters	Ρ	Sad	FSI	orgasm Pain w/sexual
Hoarseness	S	Cutaneous		Watery eyes	SI			intercourse
Costrointostin	al	Rash	Р	Ringing in ears	S	Conitourinon		Missellana
Gastrointestin	ai	Skin dryness	s			Genitournary		Iviiscellane
laste changes	S	Acne	S	Attention/Memo	ory	periods/vaginal	Р	tenderness
Decreased appetite	SI	Hair loss	А	Concentration	SI	bleeding		Bruising
Nausea	FS	Itching	S	Memory	SI	Missed expected	Р	Chills
Vomiting	FS	Hives	Р			Vaginal discharge	۵	Increased sweating
Heartburn	FS	Hand-foot		Pain		Vaginal daynass	°.	Decreased sweatin
Gas	Ρ	syndrome	5	General pain	FSI	Vaginai di yness	5	Hot flashes
Bloating	FS	Nailloss	Р	Headache	FSI	Paintulurination	5	Nosebleed
Hiccups	FS	Nailridging	Ρ	Musclepain	ESI	Urinary urgency	FI	Pain and swelling a
Constipation	S	Nail discoloration	Р	loint pain	FSI	Urinary frequency	FI	injection site
Diarrhea	F	Sensitivity to	Р	Joint pain	131	Change in usual urine color	Ρ	Body odor
Abdominal pain	FSI	sunlight				Urinary incontinence	FI	
Fecal incontinence	FI	Bed/pressure sores	Ρ					
		Radiationskin	S				Attrib	utes

culation F sed libido S ed orgasm Ρ le to have Ρ rgasm w/sexual s rcourse iscellaneous wellingand s derness ruising Ρ Chills FS ed sweating FS ed sweating Ρ flashes FS sebleed FS d swelling at Ρ tion site: dy odor S

S

Attributes						
F: Frequency	I: Interference					
S: Severity	P: Presence/Absence					
A: Amount						

*Complete library of items available at: https://healthcaredelivery.cancer.gov/pro-ctcael

Ρ

Ρ

reaction Skin darkening

Stretch marks

National

Cancer Institute

PRO-CTCAE™: Validity and Reliability

Objective:

 Evaluate the quantitative measurement properties of PRO-CTCAE, specifically validity, reliability, sensitivity, and mode equivalence¹

Methods:

- 975 patients who had received cancer-directed therapy in the prior two weeks were recruited and completed PRO-CTCAE surveys and EORTC QLQ C30
 - Convergent validity: associations with EORTC QLQ C30 scores
 - Known-groups validity based on disease site, clinical characteristics, and ECOG PS
 - Test-retest reliability: assessed on consecutive days in a subsample
- Sample was diverse with respect to age, disease site, and performance status:
 - 59 years (range 19-91); 82% White; 32% < high school; 35% lung/head and neck; 28% breast; 18% GU/Gyn; 17% PS 2-4

PRO-CTCAE™: Validity and Reliability

Results:

- PRO-CTCAE exhibits favorable validity, reliability, and responsiveness^{1,2}
- Most PRO-CTCAE items (118/124) reached a statistically significant (p<.05) and meaningful effect size on one or more a priori validity criteria
- 6 items (rare events with low endorsement) could not be meaningfully validated in this sample
- All PRO-CTCAE items were associated with conceptually-relevant EORTC QLQ-C30 domains
- 96/124 PRO-CTCAE items distinguished subgroups based on performance status, disease site, and/or treatment characteristics

¹Dueck AC et al. (2015). *JAMA Oncology.*, 1(8):1051-9. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.2639 ²Atkinson TM et al. (2018). *J Pain Symptom Manage.*,55(3):e3-e6. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.10.024</u>¹⁴

PRO-CTCAE™: Validity and Reliability

Results:

- Acceptable test-retest reliability exhibited across subset of items tested (Median ICC 0.77)
- Response choices are well comprehended; each of the ordinal response choices is nonoverlapping and distinguishes respondents with meaningfully different symptom experiences

¹Dueck AC et al. (2015). *JAMA Oncology.*, 1(8):1051-9. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2015.2639 ²Atkinson TM et al. (2018). *J Pain Symptom Manage.*,55(3):e3-e6. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.10.024</u> ¹⁵

PRO-CTCAE™: Mode Equivalence

- N=112 patients completed 28 PRO-CTCAE items (14 symptomatic A/Es) by each of the three modes of administration at a single clinic visit
- Average time to complete an item:
 - Web: 11.1 seconds (SD = ±8.4)
 - Interactive Voice Response (IVRS): 16.3 seconds (SD = ± 6.3)
 - Paper: 10.3 seconds (SD = ±5.8)

Between modes, itemlevel mean differences were very small, and the corresponding effect sizes were all less than 0.20

	Median ICC (Range)	Median (range) between-mode item- level mean difference
Web vs IVRS	0.78 (0.56 - 0.90)	-0.04 (-0.16 - 0.22)
Web vs paper	0.81 (0.61 - 0.96)	-0.02 (-0.11 - 0.14)
IVRS vs paper	0.78 (0.59 - 0.91)	0.02 (-0.07 - 0.19)

NATIONAL CANCER INSTITUTE Bennett et al. (2016). Health and Quality of Life Outcomes.,19;14:24.doi: 10.1186/s12955-016-0426-616

PRO-CTCAE™:Comparison of Recall Periods

■ N=110 patients completed 27 PRO-CTCAE[™] items (14 symptomatic A/Es)

- Comparison of 28 daily ratings to 1-, 2-, 3-, and 4-week recalled ratings
- Mean difference between the average daily score and recalled score

1-week recall corresponds well to daily reporting. Differences between daily and longer recall periods widen with 2-, 3-, and 4-week recall



Mendoza et al. (2017). *Clinical Trials.*, 14(3):255-263. doi: 10.1177/1740774517698645. ¹⁷

PRO-CTCAE™ Development Team

Sandra Mitchell (NCI) Ethan Basch (MSKCC)

Amy Abernethy Jeff Abrams **Angela Acevado Suneel Allareddy Benjamin Arnold** Pamela Atherton **Thomas Atkinson** Melissa Barragán Natalie Barragán **Paul Baumgartner** Lauren Becker Antonia Bennett Nancy Breen **Deborah Bruner** Laurie Burke Kate Castro David Cella Sylvia Chou **Ram Chilukuri** Steven Clauser **Charles Cleeland**

Catherine Coleman Stephanie Consoli Maria Corona Cori Couture Gitana Davila **Amylou Dueck** Jana Eisenstein Maria Fawzy Shanda Finnigan **Steve Friedman Joshua Gagne** Vinay Gangoli Marcha Gatewood Araceli Garcia-Gonzalez Ann Geiger **Cindy Geoghegan** Venus Ginés Maria Gonzalez Mehul Gulati **Gaurav Gupta Jay Harness Jennifer Hay** Madeline Hernandez-Krause Lori Hudson **Percy Ivy**

Tony Kerlavage Warren Kibbe Paul Kluetz **Reshma Koganti** Virginia Kwitkowski Pauline Le Suzanne Lechner Lauren Lent Yuelin Li **Carol Lowenstein** Donna Malveaux Mauricio Medina **Michael Mejia Tito Mendoza Michael Montello Cuong Nguyen** Hannah O'Gorman Ann O'Mara Diane Paul John Payne **Frank Penedo Barbara Perez Edgardo Ramirez Katherine Ramsey Bryce Reeve** Lauren Rogak

Dave Rothfarb Sean Rvan **Michael Sanchez Daniel Satele** Martin Schoen **Deborah Schrag** Ann Setser Mary Shaw Sherri Sheinfeld-Gorin **Marwan Shouery** Laura Sit **Jeff Sloan Ashley Wilder Smith** Diane St. Germain Liora Stark Ann Marie Trentascosti **Ted Trimble** Andy Trotti Veronica Valenzula Andrea Vinard Vish Viswanath **Amy Vito Gordon Willis Jennifer Wind**

We gratefully acknowledge our study participants and patient representatives!

Development and testing of the PRO-CTCAE Measurement System in English and Spanish was supported through NCI contracts: HHSN261200800043C and HHSN261201000063C (awarded to Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center) **PRO-CTCAE™** in Cancer Clinical Trials: Study Design, Analysis and Interpretation

Study Design Considerations

PRO-CTCAE is designed to be used in conjunction with CTCAE

- Provides complimentary information
- Timing of assessments should be comparable and data reported in parallel
- Item selection and timing of assessment are critical design decisions to reduce risk of bias and maximize interpretability and utility of results
- Study design and analysis plan should consider published guidelines for protocol development and statistical analysis of studies that include a patientreported outcome^{1,2}

Study Design Considerations

- Which toxicities to be measured?
 - Based on CTCAE-graded toxicities observed in earlier phase studies of agent, knowledge of drug class, and anticipated on- and off-target effects; qualitative work in the population (if it exists); input from investigators
 - Thoughtful item selection to minimize patient burden
- At what time points of measurement?
 - Baseline, regular intervals during treatment, at treatment discontinuation
 - Toxicity surveillance using CTCAE and PRO-CTCAE[™] elements should reflect comparable timeframes
- Planned analysis (descriptive and graphical)
- Inclusion of back-up data collection strategies and real-time monitoring of data quality to limit missing data
- Free-text write-ins for unsolicited symptoms

Interpretation and Reporting

- PRO-CTCAE Score ≠ Clinician CTCAE Grade
- Up to three patient-reported scores per symptomatic toxicity
- Best way to combine the attributes (frequency, severity, interference) and to interpret the scores has not been established and is under study
- CTCAE Grade 4 does not exist for most of the PRO-CTCAE toxicities
- Descriptive reporting of available attributes is recommended
- Significant additional scientific study is needed before individual-level PRO-CTCAE scores can be used for clinical and protocol-specific decision-making (e.g. dose adjustments)

PRO-CTCAE™ Continued Development and Future Directions

Expanding Adoption and Implementation

- Collaborations with leading national and international organizations to enhance uptake and adoption in clinical trials
 - NCI National Clinical Trials Network (NCTN) and Early Therapeutics Clinical Trials Network (ETCTN)
 - Regulatory: US Food and Drug Administration, NHS in UK, EMA



- International: Italian NCI, Japanese NCI, Danish Cancer Society, German Society of Hematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO)
- PRO-CTCAE has been linguistically validated in more than 25 languages, with 15 additional languages currently in development
- Pediatric module now available at the NCI website

Strengthening Interpretability and Clinical Utility

- Interpretation and clinical utility of PRO-CTCAE still evolving
 - Continued implementation in early phase trials, precision medicine studies and randomized trials
 - Anticipate future novel trial designs incorporating PRO-CTCAE data in real time for dosefinding and tailoring therapy for vulnerable subgroups
- Ongoing work to enhance interpretability and utility of PRO-CTCAE
 - Empirically-derived mapping of PRO-CTCAE item scores into CTCAE grades
 - Evaluate different approaches to patient-investigator grade reconciliation and to analyzing and representing PRO-CTCAE data
 - Adopters in surgical oncology, immuno-oncology, and radiation oncology testing items to expand the item library
 - Additional languages undergoing linguistic validation
 - Consortium established through Moonshot Funding (RFA-CA-17-052) to strengthen the analysis and interpretation of PRO-CTCAE and CTCAE data jointly, thereby improving our understanding of treatment tolerability

Improving our Understanding of the Tolerability of Cancer Treatments

- PRO reporting of symptomatic adverse events is
 - Crucial to patients, their clinicians, trial sponsors, and regulators
 - Essential to determinations of benefit and harm at the study level
- PRO-CTCAE will ultimately be interpreted within the CTCAE reporting framework
- Ongoing efforts to embed PRO-CTCAE into cancer treatment trials and observational studies will provide
 - Understanding of how reporting could influence dose modifications
 - Evidence-based principles for PRO-CTCAE-related study design and trial workflow
 - Understanding of treatment tolerability as an endpoint that is interpretable and useful for decision-making at both the individual and trial-level



For more information about the PRO-CTCAE[™] Measurement System visit: <u>https://healthcaredelivery.cancer.gov/pro-ctcae</u>